

San Luis Coastal Unified School District

# Sinsheimer Elementary School

Kindergarten through Grade 6

Jeff Martin, Principal

E-mail:  
[jpmartin@slcusd.org](mailto:jpmartin@slcusd.org)



2755 Augusta Street  
 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401  
 Phone: (805) 596-4088  
 Fax: (805) 544-9634  
 CDS Code: 40-68809-6043285  
 Web Site: [slslcusd.org](http://slslcusd.org)

## 2016-2017 School Accountability Report Card

*Published During 2017-2018*

<p><b>BOARD OF EDUCATION</b>  <b>DEC 2017-NOV 2018</b></p> <p>Chris Ungar          President</p> <p>Ellen Sheffer          Clerk</p> <p>Members:</p> <p>Mark Buchman          Kathryn Eisendrath-Rogers          Walter Millar          Jim Quesenberry          Marilyn Rodger</p>	<p><b>San Luis Coastal Unified School District</b>  <b>Mission Statement</b></p> <p><i>The mission of San Luis Coastal Unified School District is to educate students to become self-sufficient individuals who are capable of making significant contributions to society and managing change in the culturally diverse world community. The District guarantees to provide the highest quality programs and services which will give all individuals the opportunity to realize their full intellectual, physical, creative, emotional, and social potential.</i></p>	<p><b>DISTRICT OFFICE</b></p> <p>1500 Lizzie Street          San Luis Obispo, CA 93401          Phone: (805) 549-1200          Fax: (805) 549-9074          E-mail: <a href="mailto:district@slcusd.org">district@slcusd.org</a>          Web Site: <a href="http://www.slslcusd.org">www.slslcusd.org</a></p> <p>Eric Prater, Ed. D.          Superintendent</p> <p>Ryan Pinkerton          Assistant Superintendent          Business Services</p> <p>Kimberly McGrath          Assistant Superintendent          Personnel, Innovation and          Educational Services</p>
---	---	---



The State of California's goals for public education are embedded in the Local Control Funding Formula and Local Control Accountability Plan, adopted in 2013-14. San Luis Coastal Unified School District, in 2011, adopted its own Initiatives for Student Success. We support the State's goals by drawing six focus areas from these initiatives:

2017-2018:

- FOCUS AREA 1: Improve student achievement
- FOCUS AREA 2: Narrow the Achievement Gap in ELA, Math and A-G requirements
- FOCUS AREA 3: Create an intentional culture of care and intervention
- FOCUS AREA 4: Technology and Innovation
- FOCUS AREA 5: Establish a data-rich culture

## I. Data and Access

*Ed-Data* is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

*DataQuest* is an online data tool located at <http://dg.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index (API), Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

*Internet Access* is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible; e.g., the California State Library. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used, depending on availability, the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About Sinsheimer Elementary School

### School Description and Mission Statement

Sinsheimer Elementary School is located on the beautiful Central Coast of California. Situated within the city limits of San Luis Obispo, our school is in a residential neighborhood adjacent to a park. Sinsheimer enjoys a history of excellence in education and was honored as a California Distinguished School in 2006 and 2012. This is the result of clear and focused goals that create and maintain a supportive learning environment. All students are provided the opportunity to grow and achieve academically, socially, and emotionally.

Our Mission Statement is:

*"Our Sinsheimer community is committed to providing a caring, connected environment where all students are empowered to develop independence and compassion through learning that inspires."*



Our motto is: "Nurture – Inspire - Achieve."

We foster high personal standards, accountability, and a climate that values independence. The staff, parents, and students share a common vision that academic success is based on strong parental involvement.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parental involvement is a key element in the success of Sinsheimer Elementary School. We have an engaged school community where parents walk their children to school and turn out in large numbers for events. Parent volunteers are utilized in the classroom, library, and playground settings. A strong PTA enriches and enhances the school program by providing funding for assemblies, field trips, cultural arts programs, supplemental materials, and equipment for classrooms. Social activities, such as the carnival, walk-a-thon, BBQ, auction, and movie nights are designed to enhance community participation in our school. Parent education is conducted through offerings by the school principal, staff, community members, and the school newsletter. The focus of parental involvement and education is to foster healthy children who will grow up to be successful, competent, and caring adults. For further information regarding opportunities for parental involvement, please contact Jeff Martin, Principal, at 805-596-4088.

### Enrollment by Grade Level (2016-2017)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	51
Grade 1	66
Grade 2	58
Grade 3	57
Grade 4	53
Grade 5	57
Grade 6	60
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>402</b>

### Enrollment by Group (2016-2017)

Group	Percent of Enrollment
African American	1.2%
Asian	2.2%
Filipino	0.7%
Hispanic or Latino	26.9%
Pacific Islander	%
White (not Hispanic)	62.7%
Two or More	6.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29.3%
English Learners	8.4%
Students with Disabilities	8.7%

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2014-15				2015-2016				2016-17			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
<b>K</b>	25		2		22		3		22		3	
<b>1</b>	24		2		27		2		27		2	
<b>2</b>	25		2		27		2		27		2	
<b>3</b>	26		2		25		2		25		2	
<b>4</b>	28		2		27		2		27		2	
<b>5</b>	21	1	2		30		2		22	1	2	
<b>6</b>	22	1	1		26		2		26		2	

## II. School Climate

### School Safety Plan

Planning for safety is a major concern for all schools. Sinsheimer prides itself in maintaining a safe school community that promotes high standards for behavior, as well as the social and emotional health of our students and families. Because safety is both an internal as well as external concern, our school's safety plan encompasses emergency procedures such as a nuclear plan, procedures for earthquake, fire preparedness, and intruders on campus. Included are all emergency phone numbers and phone trees. Maps such as gas and water shut-offs, exit routes, and safe routes to school are located in the document. In addition, crisis management and district policies and procedures are included along with crime reports and healthy school's information. Annually, all parents receive a handbook which explains the school rules and expectations for student conduct. Sinsheimer teachers have an emergency manual explaining safety procedures that is updated each year. The safety plan is a comprehensive document that covers major safety issues and concerns specific to Sinsheimer School. It is reviewed each year and approved by the School Site Council. The School Safety Plan was last updated and reviewed with staff in the fall of 2017.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2014-15	2015-2016	2016-17	2014-15	2015-2016	2016-17
<b>Suspensions</b>	1.57%	0.49%	2.41%	4.05%	2.62%	2.21%
<b>Expulsions</b>	0%	0%	0%	0.14%	0.10%	0.12%

## IV. School Facilities

### Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The District extends great efforts to maintain the schools in a manner that ensures that they are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument, with all potential problems related to safety reported and monitored for completion by site administration and the Building, Grounds, and Transportation Department. Information about this evaluation can be found for all sites at <http://www.slcsd.org/fmp/index.html>. Elementary and middle school planned improvements include accessibility upgrades, campus-wide painting projects, fire alarms, and parking lot paving.

The following is specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided a clean, safe, functional learning environment.

### Maintenance and Repair

The District maintenance staff ensures that repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is utilized to ensure efficient service, and ensures that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. Many of our facilities are being modernized as part of the District Measure D program enacted in 2014.

### Cleaning Process and Schedule

The Board of Education has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at Buildings, Grounds and Transportation. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

### Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district utilizes a deferred maintenance plan that is funded by an annual percentage from the District general fund. The District uses the funding to assist schools with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems.

### Deferred Maintenance Projects

The complete deferred maintenance plan is available at the Buildings, Grounds and Transportation office.

### School Facility Good Repair Status

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	✓			Action taken or planned is tracked by School Dude
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	✓			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	✓			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	✓			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	✓			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	✓			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	✓			
<b>External:</b> Playground, School Grounds, Windows, Doors, Gates, Fences	✓			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	✓			

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found at the DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	2014-15	School 2015-16	2016-17	District 2016-17
<b>With Full Credential</b>	19	19	21	412
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0	3
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0	14

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

The district did not have any teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) or vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester) for the three-year period 2015-16 through 2017-18.

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments*</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (2015-2016)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50% of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Counselor
Counselor	0.4	402
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.07	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	0.48	
Nurse	0.2	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.75	
Adaptive Physical Education	0.07	

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (2017-2018)

Supplying staff and students with high quality textbooks and instructional materials is a priority of the school district. The ratio of textbooks per pupil is one textbook to each student.

Core Curriculum Areas	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and/or Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	1 per student	0
Mathematics	1 per student	0
Science	1 per student	0
History/Social Science	1 per student	0
Foreign Language	1 per student	0
Health	1 per student	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Sufficient Instructional Materials	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (Grades 9-12)	Sufficient Instructional Materials	0

### K-8 Core Curriculum Areas

The district has adopted and purchased the following materials from the most recent list of standards-based materials adopted by the State Board of Education.

Subject	Adopted	Grade Levels	Publisher	Title
Reading/Language Arts	2016	K-5	Heinemann	<i>Units of Study for Teaching Reading</i>
	2003	6-8	McDougal Littell	<i>Language of Literature</i>
Mathematics	2014	K-2	Math Learning Center	<i>Bridges, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition</i>
	2015	3-5	Math Learning Center	<i>Bridges, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition</i>
	2016	6	College Preparatory Mathematics	<i>Core Connections, Course 1</i>
	2016	7-8	College Preparatory Mathematics	<i>Core Connections, Course 2 &amp; Course 3</i>
History/Social Science	2007	K-5	Houghton Mifflin	<i>Houghton Mifflin History/Social Science</i>
	2007	6	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	<i>World History: Ancient Civilizations</i>
	2007	7	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	<i>World History: Medieval to Early Modern Times</i>
	2007	8	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	<i>US History: Independence to 1914</i>
Science	2016	K-5	Delta Education	<i>FOSS Next Generation</i>
	2008	6	MacMillan/McGraw-Hill	<i>California Earth Science</i>
	2008	7	Prentice Hall	<i>Focus on Life Science, California Edition</i>
	2008	8	Prentice Hall	<i>Focus on Physical Science, California Edition</i>

## 9-12 Core Curriculum Areas

The district has adopted and purchased the following standards-based materials for grades 9-12 that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education.

Subject	Year Adopted	Grade Levels	Publisher	Title
English	2003	9-12	McDougal Littell	<i>Language of Literature</i>
Mathematics	2015	9-12	College Preparatory Mathematics	<i>Core Connections, Algebra I</i>
	2015	9-12	College Preparatory Mathematics	<i>Core Connections, Geometry</i>
	2015	9-12	College Preparatory Mathematics	<i>Core Connections, Algebra II</i>
	1995	9-12	PWS Publishing Company	<i>Analytic Trigonometry with Applications</i>
	2001	9-12	Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.	<i>Elementary Statistics</i>
	2005	9-12	Prentice Hall	<i>Calculus: Graphical, Numerical, Algebraic</i>
	2010	9-12	Pearson/Addison Wesley	<i>Precalculus</i>
History/Social Science	2001	10	Heath/McDougal Littell	<i>Modern World History: Patterns for Interaction</i>
	2001	11	Heath/McDougal Littell	<i>The Americans: Reconstruction Through the 20<sup>th</sup> Century</i>
	2001	12	Prentice Hall	<i>Magruder's American Government</i>
	2001	12	Glencoe	<i>Economics: Principles and Practices</i>
Science	2008	9-10	Scott Foresman	<i>Biology: The Web of Life, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition</i>
	2008	11	Heath/McDougal Littell	<i>Introductory Chemistry: A Foundation, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition</i>
	2001	12	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	<i>Holt Physics 2002</i>

## Health

Each pupil enrolled in a health course has sufficient textbooks or instructional materials consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education.

Subject	Year Adopted	Grade Levels	Publisher	Title
Health	2006	9	Holt, Rinehart & Winston	<i>Lifetime Health</i>

## Foreign Language

Each pupil enrolled in a foreign language course has sufficient textbooks or instructional materials that are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the State Board of Education.

Subject	Year Adopted	Grade Levels	Publisher	Title
Spanish	2005	9-12	Prentice Hall	<i>Realidades</i>
French	2005	9-12	Glencoe/McGraw Hill	<i>Bon Voyage</i>
Latin	2005	9-12	Cambridge	<i>Cambridge Latin Course, North American, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition</i>
	2005	9-12	Bolchazy	<i>Supplemental Books for Latin</i>

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-2016)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$10,721	\$2,347	\$8,374	\$75,788
District			\$11,899	\$74,065
Percent Difference - School Site and District			-29.62%	2.33%
State			\$6,574	\$74,476
Percent Difference - School Site and State			27.38%	1.76%

### Types of Services Funded (2016-2017)

Funded services include instructional, transportation, operations, and administrative. Some of the programs funded by state and federal resources that enable the district to provide services to support student achievement and well-being are:

Career Technical Education	Limited English Proficiency, Title III
College Readiness	Special Education
English Language Instruction	Title I
Homeless Child Education	Vocational Education
Lottery	

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-2016)

This table displays district-level salary information for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	Design Amount	State Avg For Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,054	\$46,511
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$68,789	\$73,293
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,771	\$92,082
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$123,679	\$113,263
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,077	\$120,172
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$143,009	\$131,203
Superintendent Salary	\$211,052	\$213,732
% of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	36%
% of Budget for Admin Salaries	5%	5%

### IX. Student Performance

*Please note scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of an individual student.*

#### California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 15-16)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	69%	71.68%	66%	66.79%	48%	48.56%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	64%	65.05%	59%	61.55%	36%	37.56%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

#### CAASPP Assessment Results – English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 16-17)

##### ELA – Grade 3

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	59	59	100%	62.71%
Male	34	34	100%	55.89%
Female	25	25	100%	72%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	17	17	100%	29.41%
White	37	37	100%	78.38%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15	15	100%	20%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA/Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes – appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results – English Language Arts (ELA)  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 16-17)  
 ELA – Grade 4

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	52	51	98.07%	72.55%
Male	23	22	95.7%	77.27%
Female	29	29	100%	68.96%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	17	16	94.1%	68.75%
White	29	29	100%	75.86%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	21	20	95.2%	65%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

CAASPP Assessment Results – English Language Arts (ELA)  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 16-17)  
 ELA – Grade 5

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	58	56	96.5%	71.43%
Male	31	30	96.8%	60%
Female	27	26	96.3%	84.61%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	13	12	92.3%	66.67%
White	41	40	97.6%	75%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	17	100%	52.94%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

CAASPP Assessment Results – English Language Arts (ELA)  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 16-17)  
 ELA – Grade 6

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	60	98.4%	80%
Male	30	29	96.7%	75.86%
Female	31	31	100%	83.87%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	21	20	95.2%	60%
White	35	35	100%	94.29%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	17	94.4%	52.94%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

CAASPP Assessment Results – Mathematics  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 16-17)  
 Mathematics – Grade 3

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	59	59	100%	67.80%
Male	34	34	100%	61.77%
Female	25	25	100%	76%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	17	17	100%	29.41%
White	37	37	100%	86.48%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	15	15	100%	33.33%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--



**CAASPP Assessment Results – Mathematics**  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 15-16)  
 Mathematics – Grade 4

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	52	51	98%	62.74%
Male	23	22	95.6%	77.27%
Female	29	29	100%	51.73%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	17	16	94.1%	43.75%
White	29	29	100%	72.41%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	21	20	95.2%	40%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

**CAASPP Assessment Results – Mathematics**  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 15-16)  
 Mathematics – Grade 5

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	58	56	96.5%	60.71%
Male	31	30	96.8%	60%
Female	27	26	96.3%	61.54%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	13	12	92.3%	41.67%
White	41	40	97.6%	70%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	17	17	100%	35.29%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

**CAASPP Assessment Results – Mathematics**  
 Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 15-16)  
 Mathematics – Grade 6

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	61	60	98.4%	68.33%
Male	30	29	96.7%	68.96%
Female	31	31	100%	67.74%
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	21	20	95.2%	40%
White	35	35	100%	85.72%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	17	94.4%	35.3%
English Learners	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

**CST Results for All Students – Science Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays percent of students achieving at Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding state standards) for the previous three-year period.

Subject	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science	67%	69%	74%	73%	56%	54%

Note: The 2016-17 data is not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

**California Physical Fitness Test Results (2016-17)**

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test result to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	14%	26.3%	43.9%

## X. Accountability

### Federal Intervention Program (2017-2018)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	No	In
First Year of Program Improvement Implementation		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		6
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		37.5%

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

The district funds a development program using federal Title I Professional Development funds, Title II Teacher Quality funds, Title III Limited-English-Proficient funds, district funds, and site monies. All staff members have access to the comprehensive Professional Development Calendar that is developed annually.

The district's focus for staff development has been concentrated in the areas of Common Core State Standards, assessment, writing, mathematics, reading and mathematics interventions, Career Technical Education support for high quality classroom instruction and the Next Generation Science Standards. Although most of our students are achieving at high levels, some of our student populations are not. This data has provided the impetus for our District Initiatives and staff development.

Various methods of support are provided to assist teachers with the implementation of professional development goals, including the following:

- Weekly collaboration time for all teachers;
- Release time using substitutes;
- Student performance data reports available in illuminate;
- Assistance from EL Specialists, outside consultants, and Teachers-on-Special Assignment.

Professional development days are scheduled annually to provide training on specific curricular areas, and/or assessment.



*The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about this school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.*